



Residents and the Urban Forest

Results Synthesis, 2011-2016
P.I. T.M. Conway, Project Collaborators: Janet McKay, LEAF and Lionel Normand, TRCA
Funding provided by SSHRC

Project Overview

Between 2011 and 2016, three separate written surveys and in-depth interviews were conducted with residents who live in on-the-ground houses in several neighborhoods across the Greater Toronto Area. The surveys explored attitudes and actions related to municipal policy and property-level trees, including tree planting and removal, species selection, native species, and experiences with the 2013 ice storm. Survey response rates ranged from 36% to 56%. Follow-up interviews were conducted with a sub-set of survey participants, to more deeply explore tree planting, removal and maintenance on individual properties. Below are some of the results from the project to date.

Residential Property Characteristics

- Residential land has the highest species richness of any urban land use type in the Greater Toronto Area, although species evenness is quite low as a few species are dominant and many species are extremely rare.
- Residential percent canopy cover and stem density are correlated with available planting space, attitudes towards trees, date of house construction and percent visible minorities in the nieghbourhood, while species richness is weakly related to household income.

Residents Tree Planting and Removal

- Residents plant trees primarily for their aesthetic contribution to their property; they prefer species that are low maintenance, and native species were often described as low maintenance by residents who assumed they would need less watering. Socio-demographic characteristics were not related to tree planting in this study.
- Residents remove trees primarily because of tree health concerns, although many trees are also removed because of poor decisions—related to planting location and/or species selected—by the residents. Socio-demographic characteristics were not related to tree removals in this study.

Residents and Native Species

- Most residents *cannot correctly identify the native-status of common urban trees*. Higher knowledge levels were related to previously planting trees and longer residency in their house.
- About 2/3rds of surveyed respondents were not aware they live in Carolinian Canada.
- Residents express *generally favourable opinions about native tree species in the urban forest*, but are much less supportive if the native species is associated with higher costs or greater risks.
- 80% of residents surveyed *do not prioritize native species* in their own species selection decisions. About 1/4th have knowingly planted a native tree on their property, equal to half of all residents who have planted at least one tree.





Residents and Native Species, Continued

• Support for native tree species was greater for participants born in Ontario, those with higher education-levels, and participants who were better able to identify common species native-status.

Residents and Municipal Urban Forest Management

- Of residents surveyed in municipalities with an urban forest management plan, about *half were aware of the plan*. Only 10% had read the plan, *34% felt there had been no meaningful communication* about the plan by the municipality, and 48% were indifferent to the goals outlined in the plan.
- About two-thirds of survey participants *support their municipality planting more trees* in their neighborhood.
- More than half would like their municipality to *plant more native trees*, but this drops to 1/5th if native species come with additional costs.
- Only *about half of residents surveyed were aware of their municipality's private tree by-law*, while about $1/3^{rd}$ do not think municipalities should regulated trees on private property. Support for private tree by-laws was related to having planted trees on their property and higher levels of education.
- The majority *support programs to provide low cost trees* for planting on private property and increased municipal planting.

Residents and the December 2013 Ice Storm

- Surveyed six months after the 2013 ice storm, 6% of respondents no longer planned on planting another tree on their property, while 15% stated they now planned on removing a tree.
- Some of the trees planned for removal, as well as trees already removed, were healthy, but *now* seen as risk during a future ice or wind storm based on 2013 experiences.

Recommendations

- *Basic information and individualized assistance* is needed to reduce residential tree removals, increase tree plantings, and ensure native species are prioritized.
- Better outreach and education about municipal urban forestry goals and policies, including prioritizing native species, is needed.
- Develop outreach strategies targeting residents new to the region.
- *Disservices residents experience should be acknowledged*, and strategies to address them identified as these disservices impact residents tree management.

More information: go to http://sites.utm.utoronto.ca/conway/content/residents-and-urban-forests for contact information plus a full list of peer-reviewed articles and reports that have details on the specific study areas, data collection and analysis methods, and results outlined here.

Acknowledgements: Thank you to all of the residents who participated in surveys and interviews.